

National Judicial Academy

Refresher Court for MACT Courts

April 7-10, 2016

Prog Coordinator	Ms. Shruti Jane Eusebius
No. of Participants	29
No. of forms received	26

General Suggestions

3	<p>Give your views on the structure of the programme and sessions included:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The programme was well organized and coordinated. The resource persons explained very well. Practically, all the material aspects included. 2. The structure of the programme is beneficiary for MACT cases. 3. Though the programme is named as Refresher Course but it is more exhaustive touching the very understanding concepts and principles: The Programme is designed touching every aspect and finding solution in the land precedent. So well guidance to participants. 4. It was very good but would have been more if practical problems faced by Tribunals are more emphasized. 5. Participant did not comment 6. 1. Assessment of disability with reference to injuries found in Part 1 & II in schedule 1 of WC Act 192; 2. Impact of ESI coverage to an employee when he met with RTA with reference to MV Act 1988. 7. OK 8. Structure of the programme and sessions ere meticulously fixed. 9. The programme structure is good enough to sensitize us. Almost all the areas of Motor Accidents are touched by programme. Yet senior police officials be also called for telling our problems and to sensitize the police towards Motor Vehicle victims also. 10. It would be better if a class on human anatomy is included, to study the nature and function of skeleton. 11. I would like to suggest that in this subject some practical approach in the matter can be adopted, it will clear the subject. 12. The programme has been beautifully structured. The scope of improvement is always there. 13. Satisfactory. The session for assessment of disability was too small. 14. Participant did not comment 15. Participant did not comment 16. Programme has become highly beneficial to the officers. Sessions were quite satisfactory. 17. The programme was wisely structured by the Academy. It should be conducted at regular intervals. During my about 16 years of service I am attending programme at Bhopal for the first time. 18. Participant did not comment 19. Programme structure is as good and well prepared. 20. Can be and should be improved. 21. Participant did not comment 22. It was good 23. Participant did not comment 24. Though the senior speakers were good, the juniors lacked depth. The insurers were not neutral speakers. The reading material needs to incorporate landmark judgments such as Sarla Verma, Rajesh Vs Rajbir Singh etc. 25. Very good overall. 26. Structure of programme and sessions are good, especially session 9 on Liability of Insurers for compensation on the basis of No fault liability u/s 163-A of MVA.
4	<p>What are your gains/learning from this programme?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Would assist in official work to a considerable extent. Also learnt the procedures adopted by other states. A better way to learn to dispose off the cases more expeditiously and effectively. 2. More gains of concept Motor Accidents Claim case also disability Percentage to victim. 3. To be frank enough, I have found here to share my thoughts when I was searching for certain guiding facts such as how to give compensation in cases to child who has 4. It will really increase my learning and confidence in holding my tribunal henceforth.

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Intellectual and experienced speaker widely discussed the matters of MACT, their discussion would be useful in performing judicial work in the court. 6. 1. To apply the Doctrine of Pay and recovery; 2. Evidentiary issues in MACT cases; 3. Answers to issues which are being faced by Tribunals; 4. Tools & techniques to expedite MACT cases; 5. Thorough understanding of Disability with reference to four limbs. 7. Specially from the lectures of Justice Mridula Bhatkar and Justice KJ Sengupta, I learnt a lot. They were excellent. 8. The programme will be of immense help in dealing with the cases under MACT. 9. I gained a lot. Some grey areas of Sec. 163 (A) MV Act regarding calculation are beautifully touched by Hon'ble Justice Mridula Bhatkar. Hon'ble Justice KJ Sengupta clarified various provisions regarding applicability of evidence act in Motor Accidents cases. 10. Learned various new aspects including calculation of disability. 11. I have refreshed myself with the programme by knowing the latest position of law in the subject matters. This will definitely clear my task to dispose off MACT cases speedily and more accurately. 12. The structured programme is helpful in my day to day working. 13. The guidelines to assess the disability and some matters in the field of insurers' contentions. 14. Participant did not comment 15. Good 16. I have gained a lot of recent laws for deciding complicated MAC Cases. 17. The programme was very informative. More subjects could have also been introduced by adding number of days in the training session. 18. Gained the latest position of MACT Law. 19. I learnt by this programme the latest law relating to the MACT cases and what measures taken to decide the MACT cases. We go through the latest law. 20. Experience is good. 21. Immense - approach to perspective has been changed for the positive a lot. 22. A very good meet with other state officers. Good experience by exchanging our views. 23. Legal knowledge acquired from the discourses, on the fielding MACT could be helpful and usual. 24. Learnt to be proactive and interacted with officers from other states. 25. Learnt the real meaning of just and fair compensation, giving insight and view of the mental suffering. 26. Certain programme will help us particularly in my work of assessing just and fair compensation.
5	<p>Kindly make and Suggestion you may have on how NJA may Serve You better and make the Programme more effective:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ms. Shruti has taken care of everything practically by taking personal interest and facilitated in every manner possible. It was an excellent programme and interaction. Learning made easy. 2. No suggestion 3. I suggest that relating to corruption cases and relating to state anti-corruption agencies programme may be organized. 4. By making it more problem based. 5. Disk was not recharged timely that's why I could not watch TV programme. 6. Participant did not comment 7. As the lectures of the Hon'ble High Court judges were excellent, I think so far discussion on legal matters are concerned, lectures of Hon'ble High Court or Hon'ble Supreme Court judges would be more beneficial for us. 8. Refresher courses need to be frequently held on special enactments. 9. Mentioned above in Para 3 (The programme structure is good enough to sensitize us. Almost all the areas of Motor Accidents are touched by programme. Yet senior police officials be also called for telling our problems and to sensitize the police towards Motor Vehicle victims also.) 10. Call for suggestion for the participants regarding the subjects before programme is fixed. 11. 1 day programme is practical day to day difficulties faced by judges in their work should be discussed in the subject matter. 12. Cleanliness and hospitality require more and more attention. TV has not worked during my entire stay. No sweeper has come to clean the room despite repeated request. 13. Get suggestions from the participant judges before including the subjects for the sessions so they can request to include subjects they want to learn more.

		<p>14. Participant did not comment</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment</p> <p>16. NJA has been arranging very beneficial programmes so, no suggestion.</p> <p>17. It would be a better option if the Hon'ble judges from the various Hon'ble High courts as well as from the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India are invited to share their respectful views with the officers.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment</p> <p>19. Regularly arrange this type of refresher programme.</p> <p>20. By fixing programme and by calling us frequently.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment</p> <p>22. Search for better resource persons, specially justices.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment</p> <p>24. Instead of Advocates and junior lecturers, the retired judges or sitting judges must be increased as speakers.</p> <p>25. Group discussion after every session would help.</p> <p>26. Some landmark judgments should be included in reading material .</p>
6	<p>Any other Suggestion / Remarks</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Entire workshop of MACT is very useful to me for everything.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment</p> <p>4. One tea kettle along with other materials like tea bages, sugar etc should be provided in our rooms for studying.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment</p> <p>8. No. Thanks</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment</p> <p>12. The administration of NJA requires improvement in cleanliness and hospitality.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment</p> <p>16. No</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment</p> <p>20. Thanks</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment</p> <p>22. Thank you NJA</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment</p> <p>24. Nil</p> <p>25. Recommendation from the nominating High Court may please be kept updated.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment</p>

S. No.	Particulars	Respondent No.	Marks out of 5	Remarks
1	Reading Material	1	5	Very nicely compiled; lot of effort is put in.
		21	4	More citations with short headnote on the topics could have been provided.
2	Travel	-	-	-
3	Protocol	-	-	-
4	Reception	-	-	-
5	Cleanliness	1	4	A bit more cleanliness is required.
6	Food	1	4	Lot of scope
		5	2	Quality of food below standard
		23	4	Need reform
7	Hygiene	1	4	Mosquitos etc. need to be taken care of.
8	Staff Behavior	-	-	-
9	Hospitality	-	-	-

P-982 Programme & Hospitality Feedback																												
1	Mark Your Satisfaction ranking from 1 (Very Poor), 2 (Poor), 3 (Fair enough), 4 (good), 5 (Excellent), for the following:																											
2	No. of Participant	29																										
3	No. of forms received	26																										
Respondent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	Total marks out of 130	
S. No.	Subject	Marks																										
1	Reading Material	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	2	4	3	4	3	5	4	109/130	
2	Travel	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	111/130	
3	Protocol	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	4	4	2	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	108/130
4	Reception	5	4	4	4	4	5	3	5	4	4	4	2	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	107/130
5	Cleanliness	4	3	4	4	3	5	2	3	5	4	2	2	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	100/130	
6	Food	2	3	4	3	2	5	2	4	4	4	3	3	4	2	4	4	2	3	4	3	5	3	4	2	4	3	86/130
7	Hygiene	4	4	4	4	4	5	2	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	5	5	103/130
8	Staff Behavior	5	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	108/130
9	Hospitality	5	4	4	4	4	5	3	5	5	4	4	2	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	109/130

Prepared by the Documentation/Communication & PR Unit of the National Judicial Academy